arXiv:nlin/0406032v2 [nlin.SI] 22 Oct 2004

A strange recursion operator demystified

A. Sergyeyev
Silesian University in Opava, Mathematical Institute,
Na Rybníčku 1, 746 01 Opava, Czech Republic
E-mail: `Artur.Sergyeyev@math.slu.cz`

Abstract

We show that a new integrable two-component system of KdV type studied by Karasu (Kalkanlı) et al. (arXiv: `nlin.SI/0203036`) is bihamiltonian, and its recursion operator, which has a highly unusual structure of nonlocal terms, can be written as a ratio of two compatible Hamiltonian operators found by us. Using this we prove that the system in question possesses an infinite hierarchy of *local* commuting generalized symmetries and conserved quantities in involution, and the evolution systems corresponding to these symmetries are bihamiltonian as well.

We also show that upon introduction of suitable nonlocal variables the nonlocal terms of the recursion operator under study can be written in the usual form, with the integration operator D^{-1} appearing in each term at most once.

Using the Panilevé test, Karasu (Kalkanlı) [1] and Sakovich [2] found a new integrable evolution system of KdV type,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= 4u_{xxx} - v_{xxx} - 12uu_x + vu_x + 2uv_x, \\ v_t &= 9u_{xxx} - 2v_{xxx} - 12vu_x - 6uv_x + 4vv_x, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and a zero curvature representation for it [2]. Notice that this system is, up to a linear transformation of u and v, equivalent to the system (16) from the Foursov's [3] list of two-component evolution systems of KdV type possessing (homogeneous) symmetries of order k, $4 \leq k \leq 9$.

Karasu (Kalkanlı), Karasu and Sakovich [4] found that (1) has a recursion operator of the form

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= 3D_x^2 - 6u - 3u_x D_x^{-1}, \\ R_{12} &= [-2D_x^5 + (2u+3v)D_x^3 + (8v_x - 4u_x)D_x^2 + (7v_{xx} - 6u_{xx} + 4u^2 - 6uv)D_x \\ &\quad -2u_{xxx} + 2v_{xxx} + 6uu_x - 3vu_x - 4uv_x + u_x D_x^{-1} \circ v_x] \circ (3D_x^3 - 4vD_x - 2v_x)^{-1}, \\ R_{21} &= 6D_x^2 + 6u - 9v - 3v_x D_x^{-1}, \\ R_{22} &= [-3D_x^5 + (12v - 18u)D_x^3 + (18v_x - 27u_x)D_x^2 + (14v_{xx} - 21u_{xx} + 12u^2 + 12uv - 9v^2)D_x \\ &\quad -6u_{xxx} + 4v_{xxx} + 12uu_x + 6vu_x + 6uv_x - 9vv_x + v_x D_x^{-1} \circ v_x] \circ (3D_x^3 - 4vD_x - 2v_x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here D_x is the operator of total x-derivative:

$$D_x = \partial/\partial x + u_x \partial/\partial u + v_x \partial/\partial v + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (u_{jx} \partial/\partial u_{(j-1)x} + v_{jx} \partial/\partial v_{(j-1)x}),$$

$u_{kx} = \partial^k u/\partial x^k$, and $v_{kx} = \partial^k v/\partial x^k$, see e.g. [5] for further details.

Let also

$$\delta/\delta u = \partial/\partial u + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-D_x)^j \partial/\partial u_{jx}, \quad \delta/\delta v = \partial/\partial v + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-D_x)^j \partial/\partial v_{jx}.$$

We shall use the notation $\boldsymbol{u} = (u, v)^T$, and $\delta/\delta\boldsymbol{u} = (\delta/\delta u, \delta/\delta v)^T$ will stand for the usual operator of variational derivative, cf. e.g. [5]. Here and below the superscript T denotes the matrix transposition. Recall that a function that depends on x, t, u, v and a *finite* number of u_{jx} and v_{kx} is said to be *local*, see e.g. [5, 6].

Because of the nonstandard structure of nonlocal terms in $\mathfrak{R}$ the known 'direct' methods (see e.g. [7, 8, 9, 10] and references therein) for proving the locality of symmetries generated by $\mathfrak{R}$ are not applicable, so the question of whether (1) has an infinite hierarchy of local commuting symmetries remained open for a while. It also was unknown whether (1) is a bihamiltonian system.

We have [4] $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{M} \circ \mathfrak{N}^{-1}$, where $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ are some (non-Hamiltonian) differential operators of order five and three. Inspired by this fact, we undertook a search of Hamiltonian operators of order three and five for (1), and it turned out that such operators do exist and (1) is bihamiltonian. Namely, the following assertion holds.

Proposition 1 *The system (1) is bihamiltonian:*

$$\boldsymbol{u}_t = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_0/\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_1/\delta\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{2}$$

where $H_0 = -3u + v/2$, $H_1 = 2u^2 - uv + v^2/9$, *and* $\mathfrak{P}_0$ *and* $\mathfrak{P}_1$ *are compatible Hamiltonian operators of the form*

$$\mathfrak{P}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} D_x^3 - 2uD_x - u_x & 0 \\ 0 & -9D_x^3 + 12vD_x + 6v_x \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{11} = D_x^5 - 4uD_x^3 - 6u_xD_x^2 + 4(u^2 - u_{xx})D_x - u_{xxx} + 4uu_x - u_xD_x^{-1} \circ u_x, \\
&P_{12} = 2D_x^5 - (2u + 3v)D_x^3 + 4(u_x - 2v_x)D_x^2 + (6u_{xx} - 7v_{xx} - 4u^2 + 6uv)D_x \\
&+2u_{xxx} - 2v_{xxx} - 6uu_x + 3vu_x + 4uv_x - u_xD_x^{-1} \circ v_x, \\
&P_{21} = 2D_x^5 - (2u + 3v)D_x^3 - (10u_x + v_x)D_x^2 + (-4u^2 + 6uv - 8u_{xx})D_x \\
&-2u_{xxx} - 2uu_x + 3vu_x + 2uv_x - v_xD_x^{-1} \circ u_x, \\
&P_{22} = 3D_x^5 + (18u - 12v)D_x^3 + (27u_x - 12v_x)D_x^2 + (21u_{xx} - 14v_{xx} - 12u^2 - 12uv + 9v^2)D_x \\
&+6u_{xxx} - 4v_{xxx} - 12uu_x - 6vu_x - 6uv_x + 9vv_x - v_xD_x^{-1} \circ v_x.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{R} = 3\mathfrak{P}_1 \circ \mathfrak{P}_0^{-1}$, *and hence* $\mathfrak{R}$ *is hereditary.*

Now we are ready to prove that (1) has infinitely many local commuting symmetries.

Proposition 2 *Define the quantities* $\boldsymbol{Q}_j$ *and* H_j *recursively by the formula* $\boldsymbol{Q}_j = \mathfrak{P}_1\delta H_j/\delta\boldsymbol{u} = \mathfrak{P}_0\delta H_{j+1}/\delta\boldsymbol{u}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, *where* H_0, H_1, $\mathfrak{P}_0$ *and* $\mathfrak{P}_1$ *are given in Proposition 1. Then* H_j, $j = 2, 3, \ldots$, *are local functions that can be chosen to be independent of* x *and* t, *and* $\boldsymbol{Q}_j$ *are local commuting generalized symmetries for (1) for all* $j = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Moreover, the evolution systems $\boldsymbol{u}_{t_j} = \boldsymbol{Q}_j$ *are bihamiltonian with respect to* $\mathfrak{P}_1$ *and* $\mathfrak{P}_0$ *by construction, and* $\mathcal{H}_j = \int H_j dx$ *are in involution with respect to the Poisson brackets associated with* $\mathfrak{P}_0$ *and* $\mathfrak{P}_1$ *for all* $j = 0, 1, 2 \ldots$, *so* $\mathcal{H}_j$ *are common conserved quantities for all evolution systems* $\boldsymbol{u}_{t_k} = \boldsymbol{Q}_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Proof. Let us use induction on j. Assume that for $\boldsymbol{Q}_j = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_j/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ there exist a local function H_{j+1} such that $\boldsymbol{Q}_j = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_{j+1}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\partial H_{j+1}/\partial x = \partial H_{j+1}/\partial t = 0$, and let us show that then $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_{j+1}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ is local too and there exists a local function H_{j+2} such that $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_{j+2}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\partial H_{j+2}/\partial x = \partial H_{j+2}/\partial t = 0$.

Since H_j is independent of x, we have

$$u_x \frac{\delta H_j}{\delta u} + v_x \frac{\delta H_j}{\delta v} = D_x \Big(H_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \Big\{ u_{(j-k)x} (-D_x)^k \Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{jx}} \Big) + v_{(j-k)x} (-D_x)^k \Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{jx}} \Big) \Big\} \Big). \qquad (4)$$

As H_j is local by assumption, the sum is actually finite, so $D_x^{-1}(u_x \delta H_j/\delta u + v_x \delta H_j/\delta v)$ is a local expression, and hence $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_j/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ is local too.

Next, as $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_{j+1}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$, $\boldsymbol{Q}_j = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_{j+1}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$, and $\mathfrak{R} = 3\mathfrak{P}_1 \circ \mathfrak{P}_0^{-1}$, we can (formally) write $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = (1/3)\mathfrak{R}\boldsymbol{Q}_j$, cf. e.g. Section 7.3 of [5]. As $\mathfrak{R}$ is a recursion operator for (1), its Lie derivative along $\boldsymbol{Q}_0$ vanishes: $L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_0}(\mathfrak{R}) = 0$. By Proposition 1 $\mathfrak{R}$ is hereditary, so we have [11] $L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1}}(\mathfrak{R}) = (1/3)^{j+1} L_{\mathfrak{R}^{j+1}\boldsymbol{Q}_0}(\mathfrak{R}) = 0$, whence $L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1}}(\mathfrak{P}_0) = 3L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1}}(\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{P}_1) = 3\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \circ L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1}}(\mathfrak{P}_1) = 3\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \circ L_{\mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_{j+1}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}}(\mathfrak{P}_1) = 0$, cf. e.g. [12].

In turn, $L_{\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1}}(\mathfrak{P}_0) = 0$ implies that there exists a local function H_{j+2} such that $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j+1} = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_{j+2}/\delta \boldsymbol{u}$. This is proved along the same lines as in [13] for the second Hamiltonian structure of the KdV equation. Finally, as the coefficients of $\mathfrak{P}_0$ and $\mathfrak{P}_1$ are independent of x and t, it is immediate that we always can choose H_{j+2} so that it is independent of x and t.

The induction on j starting from $j = 0$ and the application of Theorem 7.24 from Olver [5] complete the proof. □

For any local H such that $\partial H/\partial x = 0$ we shall set, in agreement with (4) (see e.g. [14]–[17] for more details on dealing with nonlocalities),

$$D_x^{-1} \left(u_x \frac{\delta H_j}{\delta u} + v_x \frac{\delta H_j}{\delta v} \right) = H_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left\{ u_{(j-k)x} (-D_x)^k \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_{jx}} \right) + v_{(j-k)x} (-D_x)^k \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{jx}} \right) \right\}.$$

Then, for instance, the first commuting flow for (1) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{t_1} &= 2u_{5x} - (5/9)v_{5x} - 20uu_{xxx} + (50/9)uv_{xxx} + (40/9)vu_{xxx} - (10/9)vv_{xxx} \\
&-50u_x u_{xx} + (125/9)u_x v_{xx} + (40/3)v_x u_{xx} - (10/3)v_x v_{xx} - (40/3)vuu_x + (20/9)vuv_x \\
&+40u^2 u_x - (80/9)u^2 v_x + (5/9)v^2 u_x, \\
v_{t_1} &= 5u_{5x} - (4/3)v_{5x} - 40uu_{xxx} + 10uv_{xxx} + (10/3)vu_{xxx} - (5/9)vv_{xxx} - 120u_x u_{xx} \\
&+30u_x v_{xx} + (80/3)v_x u_{xx} - (55/9)v_x v_{xx} + (160/3)vuu_x - 20vuv_x + (40/3)u^2 v_x \\
&-(40/3)v^2 u_x + (35/9)v^2 v_x.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2 this system is bihamiltonian, and indeed we can write it as

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{t_1} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \delta H_1/\delta \boldsymbol{u} = \mathfrak{P}_0 \delta H_2/\delta \boldsymbol{u},$$

where

$$H_2 = \frac{7}{162}v^3 - \frac{8}{3}u^3 - \frac{5}{9}v^2 u + \frac{20}{9}u^2 v - u_x^2 + \frac{5}{9}v_x u_x - \frac{2}{27}v_x^2.$$

As a final remark, notice that if we define nonlocal variables by means of the formulas w, y, z

$$\begin{aligned}
w_x &= y, & w_t &= -(2/3)wv_x + 3wu_x + (4/3)yv - 6yu, \\
y_x &= wv/3, & y_t &= (2/3)yv_x - 3yu_x + (4/9)wv^2 - 2wvu - (2/3)wv_{xx} + 3wu_{xx}, \\
z_x &= 1/w^2, & z_t &= -(2/3)(-2v + 9u)/w^2,
\end{aligned}$$

then using the results from [18] we can rewrite $\mathfrak{R}$ in the standard form, with D^{-1} appearing in each term at most once:

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2/3 \\ 6 & -1 \end{pmatrix} D^2 + \begin{pmatrix} -6u & v/9 + 2u/3 \\ 6u - 9v & 8v/3 - 6u \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \boldsymbol{K}_\alpha D^{-1} \circ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\alpha.$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 = (-3, 1/2)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_\alpha = (0, w^2 z^{\alpha-2})$, $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$, are nonlocal cosymmetries for (1), $\boldsymbol{K}_1 = (u_x, v_x)^T$ is a local symmetry of (1), and $\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$, are *nonlocal* symmetries of (1) of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{K}_2 = {} & (-\tfrac{1}{27}(9u_{xxx} - 3v_{xxx} - 27uu_x + 21vu_x + 12uv_x - 5vv_x)w^2z^2 + \tfrac{1}{27}(-54u_{xx} + 15v_{xx} \\
& +36u^2 - 30uv + 4v^2)wyz^2 + \tfrac{1}{54}(-108u_{xx} + 30v_{xx} - 99y^2zu_x + 24y^2zv_x + 72u^2 - 60uv + 8v^2)z \\
& +\tfrac{1}{9}zy(-33u_x + 8v_x)/w + (-\tfrac{11}{6}u_x + \tfrac{4}{9}v_x)w^{-2}, -\tfrac{1}{9}(9u_{xxx} - 3v_{xxx} - 18(u-v)u_x + 9uv_x - 4vv_x)w^2z^2 \\
& +\tfrac{1}{9}(-63u_{xx} + 18v_{xx} + 36u^2 - 36uv + 5v^2)wyz^2 + \tfrac{1}{18}(36v_{xx} - 126u_{xx} + y^2z(39v_x - 162u_x) \\
& +72(u^2 - uv) + 10v^2)z + \tfrac{1}{3}zy(-54u_x + 13v_x)/w + (-9u_x + \tfrac{13}{6}v_x)w^{-2})^T,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{K}_3 = {} & (\tfrac{2}{27}(9u_{xxx} - 3v_{xxx} - 27uu_x + 21vu_x + 12uv_x - 5vv_x)w^2z + 2u_{xx} - \tfrac{5}{9}v_{xx} \\
& -\tfrac{2}{27}(-54u_{xx} + 15v_{xx} + 36u^2 - 30uv + 4v^2)wyz + (y^2z + y/w)(\tfrac{11}{3}u_x - \tfrac{8}{9}v_x) - \tfrac{4}{3}u^2 + \tfrac{10}{9}uv - \tfrac{4}{27}v^2, \\
& \tfrac{2}{9}(9u_{xxx} - 3v_{xxx} - 18(u-v)u_x + 9uv_x - 4vv_x)w^2z + 7u_{xx} - 2v_{xx} - \tfrac{2}{9}(-63u_{xx} + 18v_{xx} \\
& +36u^2 - 36uv + 5v^2)wyz + 18y^2zu_x - \tfrac{13}{3}y^2zv_x - \tfrac{1}{3}(-54u_x + 13v_x)y/w - 4u^2 + 4uv - \tfrac{5}{9}v^2)^T,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{K}_4 = {} & ((-\tfrac{1}{3}u_{xxx} + \tfrac{1}{9}v_{xxx} + uu_x - \tfrac{7}{9}vu_x - \tfrac{4}{9}uv_x + \tfrac{5}{27}vv_x)w^2 + \tfrac{1}{27}(-54u_{xx} + 15v_{xx} \\
& +36u^2 - 30uv + 4v^2)wy + \tfrac{1}{18}(-33u_x + 8v_x)y^2, (-u_{xxx} + \tfrac{1}{3}v_{xxx} + 2uu_x - 2vu_x - uv_x + \tfrac{4}{9}vv_x)w^2 \\
& +\tfrac{1}{9}(-63u_{xx} + 18v_{xx} + 36u^2 - 36uv + 5v^2)wy + \tfrac{1}{6}(-54u_x + 13v_x)y^2)^T.
\end{aligned}$$

It would be interesting to investigate the properties of nonlocal symmetries $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha,j} \equiv \mathfrak{R}^j(\boldsymbol{K}_\alpha)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$, and in particular to find out whether the commutators of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha,j}$ with local symmetries $\boldsymbol{Q}_k$ yield any new symmetries for (1). We intend to address these and related issues elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the Czech Grant Agency under grant No. 201/04/0538 and the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of Czech Republic under grant MSM:J10/98:192400002.

References

[1] Karasu (Kalkanlı) A 1997 *J. Math. Phys.* **38** 3616–22

[2] Sakovich S Yu 1999 *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **6** 255–62

(Sakovich S Yu 1999 *Preprint* solv-int/9901005)

[3] Foursov M V 2003 *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 3088–96

[4] Karasu (Kalkanlı) A, Karasu A, Sakovich S Yu 2004 *Acta Appl. Math.* **83** 85–94

(Karasu (Kalkanlı) A, Karasu A, Sakovich S Yu 2002 *Preprint* nlin.SI/0203036)

[5] Olver P J 1993 *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations* (New York: Springer)

[6] Mikhailov A V, Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 1987 *Russ. Math. Surv.* **42**(4) 1–63

[7] Sanders J A and Wang J P 2001 *Nonlinear Analysis* **47** 5213–40

[8] Sergyeyev A 2004 *Acta Appl. Math.* **83** 95–109

(Sergyeyev A 2003 *Preprint* nlin.SI/0303033)

[9] Sergyeyev A 2004 *Proc 5th Int. Conf. Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, in *Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine* vol 50, Part 1 (Kyiv: Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine) pp 238–45 *available at* `http://www.imath.kiev.ua/~appmath`

[10] Sergyeyev A 2004 Why nonlocal recursion operators generate local symmetries: new results and applications *Preprint* nlin.SI/0410049

[11] Fuchssteiner B, Fokas A S 1981 *Physica D* **4** 47–66

[12] Błaszak M 1998 *Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems* (Heidelberg: Springer)

[13] Olver P J 1987 *Ordinary and partial differential equations (Dundee, 1986)* (Harlow: Longman) pp 176–93

[14] Guthrie G A 1994 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **446** (1926) 107–14

[15] Marvan M 1996 *Differential Geometry and Applications (Brno, 1995)* eds J Janyška *et al.*, (Brno: Masaryk University) pp 393–402 *available at* `http://www.emis.de/proceedings`

[16] Sergyeyev A 2000 *Proc. Sem. Diff. Geom. Appl.*, ed D Krupka (Opava: Silesian University in Opava) pp 159–73

(Sergyeyev A 2000 *Preprint* nlin.SI/0012011)

[17] Sanders J A and Wang J P 2001 *Physica D* **149** 1–10

[18] Marvan M 2004 *Acta Appl. Math.* **83** 39–68

(Marvan M 2003 *Preprint* nlin.SI/0306006)